

**PATENT  
Expedited Procedure  
After Final Response  
Under 37 CFR 1.312**

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant:	BRANDT ET AL.	)	
		)	Examiner L. West
Appl. No.	10/074,970	)	
		)	Art Unit 2682
Confirm. No.	6905	)	
		)	Atty. Docket No. CS20456RL
Filed:	13 February 2002	)	
Title:	"Reselection Optimization in Mobile Wireless Communication Devices And Methods Therefor"		

**SUBMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.312**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313

Sir:

**Reply to Examiner's Reasons For Allowance**

The Examiner's Statement of reasons for allowance in the Office action mailed on 21 April 2008 is unusual and is believed to be unnecessary in light of the Decision of 28 March 2008 by the BPAI (Board) reversing the rejection of Claims 1, 8, 12, 15 and 18.

The Examiner's Statement characterizes the distinctions of the Claims over the prior art in terms not used by the Applicant or the Board. On pages 4 and 5 of the Decision, the Board noted as the bases for the reversal that the New does not make a signal measurement "at the same time that paging

BRANDT ET AL.  
"Reselection Optimization in Mobile Wireless  
Communication Devices And Methods Therefor"  
Atty. Docket No. CS20456RL

Appl. No. 10/074,970  
Confirm. No. 6905  
Examiner L. West  
Art Unit 2682

information is received" and that the signal received and measured in New  
"... is not disclosed as containing paging information." The Examiner's  
Statement should be disregarded to the extent that it interprets the claims  
inconsistently with what was noted by the Board or the Applicants as  
distinguishing over the prior art.

Respectfully submitted,

/ROLAND K. BOWLER II/

MOTOROLA, INC.  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT. (RKB)  
600 NORTH U.S. HIGHWAY 45, W4-37Q  
LIBERTYVILLE, ILLINOIS 60048

---

ROLAND K. BOWLER II      3 JUN. 2008  
REG. NO. 33,477

TELEPHONE NO. (847) 523-3978  
FACSIMILE NO. (847) 523-2350